

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/938,533	08/27/2001	Gerd M. Muller	740105-78	2799
7590 07/14/2004 NIXON PEABODY LLP 401 9TH ST. N.W. SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			EXAMINER	
			FOREMAN, JONATHAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	· -

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{A}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/938,533	MULLER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan ML Foreman	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 June 2004</u> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	opellant's Brief must be filed with FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	nin the period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):	•				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been cor 	sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL`	Y to issues which were newly				
☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8 The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disar	pproved by the Examiner.				

MARY BETH JONES
ACTIVE SUPERVISION PATENT EXAMINER

JMLF

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 includes the new limitations "rotationally and axially" and "after" requiring a further consideration and/or search. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is not persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is not withdrawn. Applicant has asserted that the Examiner rejected claim 20 in the final office action, but did not initially address this claim in the first office action. As Applicant has pointed out, the Examiner did not address the limitations as defined by claim 20. This is because claim 20 was not included in the rejection. It was lumped together with claims 19, 21 and 22 inadvertently at box 6 of PTO-326 and at the heading of the rejection. The examiner did not address the limitations of claim 20. As can be seen on PTO-326 at box 4a, claim 20 has been withdrawn from consideration. Additionally, Applicant has asserted that the features of claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 10 and 11 were not addressed by the Examiner. However, claim 2 was addressed at lines 7 - 9 of the paragraph beginning "In reference to claims 1 - 7..." of page 2 of the final action. Claim 5 was addressed at lines 9 - 11 of that same paragraph. Claims 10 and 11 were addressed at page 3 of the action at the paragraph beginning "In reference to claims 10 and 11". Claims 3 and 4 are merely functional limitations providing no additional structure to the claim. The Examiner maintains that the references were fully and correctly applied in the non-final and final office actions and that the finality of the last action is proper.